



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/031,471

06/03/2002

Christian Legl

12816-031001

8706

26161 7590 08/29/2008

FISH & RICHARDSON PC
P.O. BOX 1022
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022

EXAMINER

ZHANG, SHIRLEY X

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2144

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/29/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/031,471	Applicant(s) LEGL ET AL.	
	Examiner SHIRLEY X. ZHANG	Art Unit 2144	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 June 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 17-52 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 17-52 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03 June 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/07/2002</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This non-final office action is responsive to the U.S. patent application no. 10/031,471 filed on June 3, 2002.

Claims 1-16 have been cancelled;

Claims 17-52 are pending;

Claims 17-52 are rejected.

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 365(c) to PCT applications PCT/DE00/02294 filed on July 13, 2000, which claims for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) for the German application No. 199 33 541.9 filed on July 16, 1999.

Receipt is acknowledged of a copy of the PCT application submitted under 35 U.S.C. 365(c) on June 3, 2002, which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Receipt is also acknowledged of a certified copy of the German application submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) on January 15, 2002, which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on May 7, 2002 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. **Claims 17-18, 20, 26-27, 32-33, 35, 41-42, 46 and 50** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Russell et al. (U.S. patent No. 5,526,407, hereinafter “Russell”).

32. (New) A method for digitally recording an analog audio signal with automatic indexing having the following steps:

(a) an analog audio signal containing audio information and signal pauses is read in (columns 6-7, “Summary of the Invention”);

(b) the analog audio signal is converted into digital audio data comprising audio information data and signal pause duration data (Fig. 2 and column 9, lines 7-34);

(c) the converted digital audio data are stored (column 6, “Summary of Invention”);

(d) the stored digital audio data are read sequentially (column 6, line 50);

(e) a decision is made regarding whether the read digital audio data are audio information data or signal pause duration data (column 7, lines 4-7);

(f) the audio information data are stored as information data blocks and the signal pause duration data are stored as signal pause data blocks in a memory (column 6, “Summary of Invention” and column 13); and

Art Unit: 2144

(g) the stored data blocks are read sequentially in order to produce a data structure for managing the indexing, any succession of information data blocks which is not interrupted by a signal pause with a pre-determined duration being detected as one cohesive audio information data sequence whose start and end are stored in the data structure for managing the indexing (column 5, lines 46-67).

Claim 17 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 32 as it lists elements that are all listed in **claim 32** and disclosed by Russell.

Claim 46 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 32 as it lists elements that are all listed in **claim 32** and disclosed by Russell.

Claim 50 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 32 as it lists elements that are all listed in **claim 32** and disclosed by Russell.

Regarding claims 18 and 33, Russell disclosed the method of claims 17 and 32, wherein the data structure produced for managing the indexing is an index table (Fig. 5 and columns 10-11).

Regarding claims 20 and 35, Russell disclosed the method of claims 18 and 33, wherein the sequentially read data blocks are subjected to data processing during production of the index table (Fig. 3 and “Summary of the Invention”).

Regarding claims 26 and 41, Russell disclosed the method of claims 17 and 32, wherein the digital audio data are compressed before storage (column 9, lines 39-43, “codec”).

Regarding claims 27 and 42, Russell disclosed the method of claims 17 and 32, wherein each information data block contains an information data block identifier and audio information data, and each signal pause data block contains a signal pause data block identifier and signal pause duration data (Russell, Fig. 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. **Claims 19 and 34** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Russell, in view of Freudberg et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,336,421, hereinafter “**Welch**”).

Regarding claims 19 and 34, Russell disclosed the method of claims 18 and 33, wherein the start and end of a cohesive audio information data sequence are stored (Fig. 5 and column 18).

Art Unit: 2144

Russell did not explicitly disclose start address for the first information data block and end address for the last information data block within the memory in address pointers of the index table.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Welch disclosed storing the start and end of a speech segment as the start and end addresses in the memory (column 13, lines 42-64).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine Russell and Welch because both disclosed detecting voice sounds and pauses in speech (Russell, "Summary of the Invention" and Welch, "Summary of the Invention").

5. **Claims 21-23, 30, 36-38, 45, 48 and 51** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Russell, in view of Freudberg et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,696,031, hereinafter "**Freudberg**").

Regarding claims 21, 36, 48 and 51, Russell disclosed the method of claims 20, 35, 47 and 50.

Russell disclosed filtering out short spoken utterances that are not useful for the user (column 16, lines 21-46).

Russell did not explicitly disclose filtering a particular minimum value for the number of information blocks do not exceed and a particular first time limit value the signal pause of the two adjacent signal pause data blocks exceeds.

However, Freudberg disclosed filtering out short bursts of energy by combining an ON time of less than 200 msec with the OFF times of the two adjacent OFF intervals to form a single OFF interval, which is essentially the same as what's described in the claim (column 6, lines 32-49).

Art Unit: 2144

One would have been motivated to combine Russell and Freudberg because both disclosed silence (i.e. pause) detection and speech signal segmentation.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one to incorporate Freudberg's method of filtering out short energy bursts using threshold values into Russell to filter out information blocks that are falsely detected as speech blocks so as to save system processing time and reduce error rate.

Regarding claims 22 and 37, the combination of Russell and Freudberg disclosed the method of claims 21 and 36.

Russell did not explicitly disclose wherein the minimum value is 1.

Freudberg disclosed the minimum value for ON time is 200 msec (column 6, lines 32-49).

Examiner considers the difference in the minimum value as an implementation choice that may vary in different embodiments of the same inventive idea.

The rationale for the motivation to combine Russell and Freudberg is the same as that provided in the rejection of claim 21.

Regarding claims 23 and 38, the combination of Russell and Freudberg disclosed the method of claims 21 and 36.

Russell did not explicitly disclose wherein the first time limit value is 0.5 seconds.

Freudberg disclosed using an OFF interval (column 6, lines 50-55).

Art Unit: 2144

Examiner considers the value of 0.5 seconds specified in the claim as an implementation choice of Freudberg's OFF interval, which may vary in different embodiments of the same inventive idea.

The rationale for the motivation to combine Russell and Freudberg is the same as that provided in the rejection of claim 21.

Regarding claims 30 and 45, Russell disclosed the method of claims 17 and 32.

Russell did not explicitly disclose wherein a succession of information data blocks which is not separated by a signal pause data block whose signal pause duration data amount to a signal pause of more than 2 seconds is detected as a cohesive audio information data sequence.

However, Freudberg disclosed a signal pause detection method using an ON time to determine the minimum duration of speech intervals (column 6, lines 32-49). Examiner considers the time duration of 2 seconds recited in the present claim as an implementation choice Freudberg's ON time, which may vary in different embodiments of the same inventive concept.

6. **Claims 24-25, 31, 39-40, 46, 49 and 52** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Russell, in view of Imai et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2001/0010037, hereinafter "**Imai**").

Regarding claims 24, 39, 49 and 52, Russell disclosed the method of claims 20, 35, 48 and the apparatus of claim 50.

Art Unit: 2144

Russell did not explicitly disclose wherein, during the data processing, the signal duration data of signal pause data blocks whose signal pause duration exceeds a particular second time limit value are overwritten with signal duration data having a particular nominal signal duration.

However, Imai (2001/0010037) disclosed a speech rate conversion system that replaces a non-speech interval exceeding a constant continued time with a break of the constant continued time that is shorter than the actual non-speech interval (Imai, [0034]).

One would have been motivated to combine Russell and Imai because both disclosed non-speech interval detection.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one to add Imai's speech rate conversion to Russell to save storage space and adjust playback speed without losing audio information,

Regarding claims 25 and 40, the combination of Russell and Imai disclosed the method of claims 24 and 39.

Imai further disclosed wherein the second time limit value is 10 seconds and the nominal signal duration is 2 seconds (Examiner considers the threshold values recited in the claim as an implementation choice of Imai's constant continued time disclosed in [0034] which may vary in different embodiments of the same inventive idea).

The rationale for the motivation to combine Russell and Imai is the same as that provided in the rejection of claim 24.

Regarding claims 31 and 46, Russell disclosed the method of claims 17 and 32.

Art Unit: 2144

Russell did not explicitly disclose wherein, when the analog audio signal is read in, the playing speed of a data medium on which the analog audio signal is recorded can be set.

However, Imai disclosed a method for converting speech rate at a preset scaling factor using speech interval detection (Imai, "Abstract").

The rationale for the motivation to combine Russell and Imai is the same as that provided in the rejection of claim 24.

7. **Claims 28-29 and 43-44** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Russell, in view of Gan et al. (IEEE Publication "Implementation of Silence Compression Scheme For G.723.1 Speech Coder Using TI TMS320S75 DSP Chip", 1997, hereinafter "**Gan**").

Regarding claims 28 and 43, Russell disclosed the method of claims 17 and 32.

Russell did not explicitly disclose wherein all the data blocks are of the same size and correspond to a particular basic unit of duration.

However, Gan disclosed an implementation of silence compression for G.723.1 coder, wherein G.723.1 coder has frame size of 30 ms and compressed frame size of 24 bytes at 6.3 kb/s coding rate.

One would have been motivated to combine Russell and Gan because both disclosed silence detection and codec.

It would have been obvious for one to incorporate Gan's silence compression and G.723.1 into Russell as one of the possible embodiments of Russell's speech peripheral.

Art Unit: 2144

Regarding claims 29 and 44, the combination of Russell and Gan disclosed the method of claims 28 and 43.

Russell did not explicitly disclose wherein the basic unit of duration is 30 ms.

However, as already addressed above in the rejection of claim 28, Gan disclosed a silence compression implementation for G.723.1 codec, where the G.723.1. codec has basic frame duration of 30 ms.

The rationale for the motivation to combine Russell and Gan is the same as that provided in the rejection of claim 28.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHIRLEY X. ZHANG whose telephone number is (571)270-5012. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30am - 5:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Vaughn can be reached on (571) 272-3922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2144

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. X. Z./

Examiner, Art Unit 2144

8/25/2008

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2144